

Research Article

Experimental Investigation of the Effects of a Reduced Exhaust Gas Re-circulation Rate on the Performance and Emissions of CI Engines Running on Biodiesel made from Dairy Waste Scum Oil

S.B. Anjappa

D.K. Ramesha*

Department of Mechanical
Engineering, University of
Visvesvaraya College of Engineering,
Bangaluru-560001, India

Received 6 February 2024

Revised 29 March 2024

Accepted 4 April 2024

Abstract:

Biodiesel resembles the qualities of fossil diesel while it is also proven to be a greener fuel and viable alternative. However, the primary challenge of using biodiesel was higher emissions of Nitrogen oxides (NO_x). Hence to minimize the NO_x, an exhaust gas re-circulation (EGR) system could be utilized and could resolve the challenges associated with this higher NO_x emissions. In this study, dairy waste scum oil biodiesel was prepared and blended with diesel at 20% by volume (B20) and three different EGR percentages 5%, 10%, and 15%, were investigated on the characteristics of CI engine. Based on the test outcomes, a drop in NO_x has been observed with a 15% rate of EGR which is 6.1% lower than conventional diesel. Moreover, brake thermal efficiency increased by 11% as compared to diesel. However, a slight increase in other exhaust emissions was noticed with EGR. The findings indicate that utilizing a lower rate of exhaust gas re-circulation (EGR) at 15% in conjunction with a B20 blend of dairy waste scum oil biodiesel yields optimal engine performance while minimizing NO_x emissions.

Keywords: NO_x Emission, CI Engine, Dairy waste scum oil, EGR, Biodiesel

1. Introduction

The effects of climate change on human health and ecological quality have made it a global concern. The transportation industry had been the fastest-growing source of greenhouse gas emissions. Biofuel were seen as a viable climate change mitigation strategy and a means to lessen our reliance on petroleum-based energy. Energy is an essential requirement for the advancement of industries and infrastructure, and hence with urbanization and an increase in population the need for energy is also increasing. Currently the majority of the world's energy utilities fossil fuels which involves coal for power generation, petrol, diesel, natural gas for automobiles etc. [1]. While these resources were limited, i.e., non-replenish able, they also lead to a lot of pollution while extracting and utilizing it [2]. The demand for an alternative fuel surge rapidly and biodiesel could be capable to fulfil the demands. It possesses physiochemical properties similar to diesel while it also reduced emissions, thus making it a right fit for direct utilization in compression ignition (CI) engines [3-5]. The blending of biodiesel attracted researchers in the hope of reducing the dependency on fossil fuels with reduction in a harmful greenhouse gas emissions [6]. The production of biodiesel was achieved via biomass, such as crop grains and seeds etc. [7]. However, it must be ensured that for commercial use they should be derived from non-edible biomass, so as to maintain food security [8].

* Corresponding author: D.K. Ramesha
E-mail address: anji09.sb@gmail.com



Biodiesels are biodegradable and provide efficient combustion with lower carbon emissions but they tend to be more viscous. Lower blend ratio of biodiesel (B20) had minimal viscous effect, but it led to higher NO_x emissions and these problems need to be resolved for their better commercial utilization [9-11]. The exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) system is often associated in diesel engines to lower NO_x emissions [12]. Sarno and Iuliano. [12] blended the dairy waste scum oil biodiesel (PJB) and isopropanol with diesel fuel while varying the EGR rate between 10% and 30% to study its effects on engine characteristics. At full throttle, the cylinder pressure of the 30ISP mix (30% PJB + 70% diesel fuel) was the greatest, followed by that of the 30ISP-30EGR blend and diesel fuel. With 30% EGR, NO_x emissions declined from 1274 to 906 ppm. Increasing the rate of EGR caused a rise in both HC and CO [13, 14]. So, the incorporation of lower rate of EGR has to be done to achieve least NO_x emission without not much affecting the other emissions trade-off. Liang et al. [15] carried out experiments to find out how n-pentanol and biodiesel's combined effects would affect a common-rail diesel engine's efficiency and emissions at various rates of EGR. When compared to D100, ternary fuels (n-pentanol with biodiesel diesel blends) caused more HC emissions at low EGR rates. However, with a higher rate of EGR, the ternary fuel's high oxygen availability and cetane number (CN) could substantially decrease the HC emissions. Despite lowering NO_x and soot, still higher rate of EGR increased CO and HC. CI engine may benefit more from ternary blends with an EGR at a moderate rate [15]. The key findings of the study by Rao et al. were the impact of compression ratio (CR) with EGR on the CI engine performance. Results of palmyra oil methyl ester 20% (POME 20) blend demonstrated the effects on BTE, BSFC, and emissions with different CR (16:1, 18:1, and 20:1). EGR was used at rates of 5% and 10% when POME20 was operating at a CR of 20:1. The experiment results disclosed that with the employment of 10% EGR to POME20 reduced NO_x emissions by 23% comparative to POME20 at normal operating condition [16]. Sakhare et al. [17] examined the same with cottonseed biodiesel B20 with the application of EGR. Cottonseed B20 as an oxygenated fuel with higher CN, had minimal ignition delay as compared to diesel. Cottonseed B20 biodiesel reduced CO and HC emissions because it burns cleanly and contains no aromatic compounds. Generally, NO_x increased with Cottonseed B20. While the application of EGR reduced it to the great extent. However, EGR caused a marginal rise in CO and HC. So the compromise has to be made between these emission trade-offs. Öztürk and Can [18] used 10% canola biodiesel with addition of ethanol on the CI engine and examined the impact of the EGR along with delayed injection timing. The research showed that the best way to improve the combustion was to use a retarded injection timing of 2 CA° with better performance and emission metrics. Venu et al. [19] approached an innovative method of the effect of nanoparticles combined with EGR. This technique could reduce smoke throughout the engine load with a simultaneous drop in all emissions up to part loads. Results from this research with palm biodiesel B30 with a combination of 25% TiO₂ nanoparticles and EGR revealed that this approach reduced the BSFC, HC, and CO emissions with a rise in exhaust gas temperature (EGT). The study by Venkatesan et al. [20] focuses on performance and emission characteristics of the tractor diesel engine operated on exhaust gas recirculation with biodiesel blends based on pine oil and soapnut oil methyl ester. The authors attempted to assess the possibility of the use of biodiesel as an eco-friendly substitute for petroleum-based diesel fuel while finding out the effect of EGR on NO_x reduction since it is one of the prominent problems of biodiesel-operated engines. Jalilantabar et al. [21] conducted an experiment to investigate how engine characteristics were affected by various biodiesels when using pilot injection and EGR. The biodiesel made from brassica, cardoon, coffee, used cooking oil, and diesel fuel were examined. The use of EGR (up to 86%) and pilot injection (up to 29.3%) had reduced emissions to great extent. A rise in BSFC with a higher EGR rate caused by a poor combustion quality and a insufficient oxygen for the combustion. Lower rate of 10% EGR was advised to reduce NO_x emissions without adversely affecting other emissions or combustion quality.

The novel of this paper lies in the selection of dairy waste scum oil biodiesel and its properties. Lower blend ratio of the B20 blend had similar and superior properties than diesel. Hence, this was chosen for the study to analyse the characteristics of the CI engine. This biodiesel could serve as a better alternative to diesel and the findings motivated us to use EGR to overcome the challenges associated with the higher NO_x. The main objective of this research is to examine the performance of a dairy waste scum oil biodiesel blended with diesel at 20% by volume in a VCR diesel engine with lower rate of EGR. The motivation of the study is to find out the optimum lower rate of EGR with least emission and not much affecting the other parameters. The subsequent sections elaborate on fuel characterization and preparation, experimental configuration, performance and emission parameter testing, and a comparison of the results to those of base diesel.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Preparation of Biodiesel

The fuel derived from dairy scum using transesterification to obtain the biodiesel in Fig. 1. The chemical reaction of methanol with triglycerides i.e., the fats of oils, in the presence of a catalyst is called the transesterification reaction. The endothermic reaction leads to the formation of ester and glycerol. It is a process to make the properties of oil similar to diesel. The process of Transesterification is depicted in Fig. 2. A mixture of dairy waste scum oil and methanol of 16:1 molar ratio was prepared and KOH was used as catalyst. The reaction involved heating the mixture for an hour to 60 °C in a conical flask, the whole being stirred at 700 rpm. Methanol has a boiling point of 64.7 °C and hence the mixture's temperature was maintained to be 60 °C. To prevent the escaping of the volatile components, the top of the conical flask was covered with aluminium foil. To settle the broken-down fats, the stir-heated mixture was shifted into a separating funnel and rested for 4 hours. In a conical flask, methoxide was mixed with the fats that settled down, and the mixture was rested for 18 hours to settle. The biodiesel was obtained at the top of the mixture. The moisture removal was attained by continuous heating, while the removal of glycerine was achieved via water washing. Scum oil biodiesel was collected with a higher yield rate of 95%. Processed raw scum oil biodiesel based on the above-mentioned method was procured from a local vendor. Further, diesel (SOB0), scum oil biodiesel (SOB100), and its blend, 20% biodiesel with 80% of diesel (SOB20) were prepared and the properties examined as per ASTM standards are illustrated in Table 1.



Fig. 1. Dairy waste scum oil

When compared to conventional diesel, the cost of making and utilizing biodiesel from dairy waste scum depends on several variables, including the availability of feedstock, the cost of cultivation and processing, yield, market pricing, and infrastructure. Due to low processing costs and high local fuel prices, dairy waste scum biodiesel is cost-competitive. Our study therefore concludes that generating dairy waste scum biodiesel locally is a realistic and advantageous alternative.

Total Cost (TC) = Feedstock Cost (dairy scum oil + Processing Cost (PC) + Labor Cost (LC) + Overhead Cost (OC)

TC = INR 145 + INR 100 + INR 50 + INR 50

Total cost of Production = INR 345

Table 1: Properties of dairy waste scum oil biodiesel

Properties	Biodiesel obtained from scum oil	ASTM Standard for Biodiesel	Equipment utilized
Density	0.855	0.875	Hydrometer
Viscosity	3.9	1.9-6.0	Brook field viscometer
Flash point	105	100.0 min.	Pensky Marten Apparatus
Fire point	122	130	Pensky Marten Apparatus
Cloud point	9	-3 to 12	PE-7200I
Pour point	56	-10 to 12	PE-7200I
Calorific value	40129 kJ/kg	-	Bomb calorimeter
Acid value	0.52	0.80 max.	pH meter
Solubility in H ₂ O	Insoluble	Insoluble	-
Colour	Light golden	Light golden	-
Odour	Light soapy order	-	-




Fig. 2. Transesterification process

2.2 Engine Experimental Setup

The CI engine's features with a dairy waste scum oil biodiesel blend were studied in a 4-stroke vertical single cylinder CI engine at various lower percentages of EGR in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3. Experimental setup diagram

EGR works by recirculating a portion of the engine's exhaust gas back into the combustion chamber, reducing the formation of NO_x. The electronic EGR valves are more common. These valves are controlled by the engine control unit (ECU) based on input from various sensors such as the mass airflow sensor, throttle position sensor, and exhaust gas temperature sensor. The ECU adjusts the valve opening to maintain optimal engine performance and emissions control. Eddy current dynamometer was utilized to apply load. In this, load varied from 0 to 100%, with an increase of 25% in each step. The engine was designed to function at 1500 rpm for various loads. The time required for 10cc of fuel consumption was measured with burette and a stopwatch. The levels of fuel and lubricating oil were examined for normalcy with the fuel measurement unit. For the first 30 minutes of the experiment, only pure diesel fuel was used to warm up the engine. Immediately, after the temperature of cooling water approached to 60°C, this process

was completed, and rotameters were utilized to detect the flow of cooling water. This study was performed thrice and an average of all values was taken for further study. Fig. 3 depicts the experimental setup and engine specification were illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2: Engine specifications

Manufacturer	Kirloskar TV1
Power range	3.5 kW 1500 rpm
Displacement volume	661 cm ³
Compression ratio	17.5:1
Standard injection timing	23° bTDC
Cooling type	Water-cooled

The emissions from the CI engine which are mainly comprised of NO_x, CO, and HCs were detected by using AVL GAS DI 444 N (Five gas analyzer) and smoke were determined by utilizing AVL 437C smoke meter. To obtain better accuracy in measurements, these equipment's were calibrated via reference gases. Engine Soft software was used to evaluate different parameters like fuel consumption, power, efficiency and heat release.



Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of experimental work.

A schematic diagram of experimental work for biodiesel from dairy waste scum will graphically illustrate every detail in the process in Fig. 4. Starting with the collection and preprocessing of the dairy waste scum, impurities would be removed and adjusted according to the optimal moisture level. Then, the processing is done on transesterification, where chemical conversion of the lipid of the scum into biodiesel is done through the catalysts under controlled temperatures and agitation. Post-reaction, a separation stage separates biodiesel from by-products like glycerol. Finally, purification steps ensure that the biodiesel produced meets quality standards.

2.3 Uncertainty Analysis

During the experiments, due to various elements such as environmental operating conditions, instrument selection and calibration, apparatus quality and experiment order, etc., the same results could not be obtained. Therefore, it is

crucial to evaluate the reliability of the measured results. The study was performed thrice and the values were averaged for the graphical representation. The uncertainty percentage along with the accuracy of the measuring equipment was employed. The overall uncertainty of $\pm 2.53\%$ was obtained using the expression derived by Holman as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total uncertainty} &= [(\text{encoder})^2 + (\text{pressure sensor})^2 + (\text{NOx})^2 + (\text{O}_2)^2 + (\text{CO})^2 + (\text{CO}_2)^2 + (\text{opacimeter})^2 + (\text{HC})^2 \\ &\quad + (\text{K2 thermo couple})^2 + (\text{manometer})^2 + (\text{stop watch})^2 + (\text{burette})^2]^{1/2} \\ &= [(0.3)^2 + (0.01)^2 + (0.5)^2 + (0.35)^2 + (0.02)^2 + (0.2)^2 + (0.3)^2 + (1.1)^2 + (1.5)^2 + (0.3)^2 + \\ &\quad (1.5)^2]^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{6.393} \\ &= \pm 2.53\% \end{aligned}$$

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Brake Thermal Efficiency (BTE)

Fig. 5 portrays the variation in the BTE with an increase in load, for pure diesel and dairy waste scum oil biodiesel B20 at various rates of EGR. Results indicate that the BTE of B20 was the maximum at 15% EGR. At full load, the values of BTE were noticed as 33.63, 32.23, 33.28, 35.32 and 37.36 for D0, B20, B20 with 5%, 10% and 15% EGR respectively. The engine performance gets better with EGR for all the loads. There was an 11% increment in BTE for B20 with 15% EGR as compared to conventional diesel. This higher BTE could be due the fact that, B20 led to better atomization and thus, better combustion. As atomization improved, the premix combustion increased and ID reduced which resulted in a higher rate of combustion [22]. This increased combustion rate resulted in higher BTE. EGR minimized the heat losses through exhaust gases which boost the BTE [23]. Also, re-combustion of hydrocarbon from EGR enhanced BTE, as it preheats the intake air-fuel mixture [24].




Fig. 5. BTE with respect to the load at different EGR%

3.2 Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC)

BSFC defines the amount of fuel burnt to generate unit brake power (BP), thus indicating the combustion efficiency. Fig. 6 illustrates the BSFC with load and EGR, it could be seen that BSFC at the maximum load for D0, B20, B20 with 5%, 10% and 15% EGR were 0.231, 0.254, 0.238, 0.236, 0.253 kg/kW.h respectively. At peak load, the BSFC was greater for B20 (9.9% higher) and least for pure diesel. This trend in diesel values could be associated with the fact that biodiesel had inferior heating value and high viscous and denser than diesel. The calorific value of the fuel had a directly impact on the BSFC [25]. Due to higher oxygen availability, more fuel must be burned for B20 to

supply the power demand at higher loads [26]. The homogeneous air-fuel mixture and areas of spontaneous ignition improved the speed of pre-mixed combustion. As a result, the combustion quality was improved. B20 with 5% and 10% EGR showed least BSFC as similar with diesel whereas higher EGR rate increased the BSFC as like B20. The incorporation of exhaust gas diminishes the power output leads to higher consumption of fuel to produce same unit of BP [27].




Fig. 6. BSFC with respect to the load at different EGR%

3.3 Hydrocarbon Emissions (HC)

Fig. 7 depicts a correlation between HC and load. Lower HC emissions depict better fuel consumption. At low loads, the maximum emissions were noticed with pure diesel, while B20 emits the lowest HC emission. Incomplete combustion was the root cause of HC and it was due to insufficient air/fuel mixing and this observed pattern remains same for greater loads [28]. The values of HC emissions were observed as 42.53, 34.65, 38.95, 39.30 and 41.74 for Diesel, B20, B20 with 5%, 10%, and 15% EGR respectively, at peak load. The HC emissions for B20 were 18.52% lower than diesel because of its higher Cetane number that aids in the fully combustion of the fuel droplets [29, 30]. Lean air-fuel mixture and efficient combustion reduced HC emissions. The HC emission surged with higher EGR percentages due to insufficient oxygen availability for combustion results in a rich fuel mixture with incomplete combustion. Anyhow, the HC emissions were minimal than that of pure diesel.




Fig. 7. Hydrocarbon emissions with respect to the load at different EGR%

3.4 Carbon Monoxide emissions (CO)

Fig. 8 depicts the CO emission with increasing load. Emissions of CO mostly resulted from incomplete oxidation and low flame temperature caused by an oxygen deficiency in the air-fuel mixture [31]. At low loads, the CO emission was least for B20 with no EGR while the highest emission was observed for 15% EGR; in the case of higher loads, the trend remains the same, while the emissions increase as discussed above [32]. At full load, the values observed on CO emissions were 0.079, 0.072, 0.0786, 0.0796 and 0.0828 for pure diesel, B20, B20 with 5%, 10% and 15% EGR, respectively. Moreover, B20 with no EGR showed 8.86% lesser CO emissions than diesel and B20 with all the levels of EGR. This phenomenon could be due to its higher oxygen availability, but as the rate of EGR increased (above 5%) the amount oxygen available for combustion decreases and hence the above trend was observed [33]. Improved fuel mixing and atomization raised cylinder temperature, which improved carbon oxidation and lowered CO emissions [34].




Fig. 8. Carbon Monoxide Emissions with respect to the Load at different EGR%

3.5 NO_x Emissions

Fig. 9 demonstrates the NO_x emissions with respect to the load at various rates of EGR. Many factors contribute to NO_x emission, including oxygenated fuel, complete combustion, higher in-cylinder pressure and temperature. NO_x emissions increased with load. The NO_x emissions were measured as 989.75, 1082.81, 993.59, 984.36, and 928.97 for diesel, B20 (0%), 5%, 10%, and 15% EGR respectively at maximum load. At higher loads, the lowest emission was observed for 15% EGR while the highest NO_x for the B20 with no EGR. The main factor for this least NO_x emission could be due to the drop in combustion temperature at 15% EGR [35]. The above observations are owing to the fact that, B20 with no EGR corresponding to leaner fuel with greater oxygen availability which would lead to more NO_x emission as the higher in cylinder temperature. B20 blend with no EGR emits 9.4% higher NO_x than diesel whereas B20 with 15% EGR resulted in 6.1% lower NO_x than that of diesel. Also noticed that this higher NO_x in the case of biodiesel blend was due to presence of more oxygen [36]. Higher CN also enhanced the NO_x forming tendency [37].




Fig. 9. NOx emissions with respect to the load at different EGR%

3.6 Smoke Opacity

Fig. 10 represents the variation in smoke opacity with respect to load. At low load, the smoke was least for diesel and considerably lower for all the other blends except B20 with 15% EGR. The trend showed that opacity increased with rate of EGR. Physiochemical features of biodiesel, air deficiency, fuel atomization, and air-fuel mixing were the cause of smoke emissions [38]. The values of smoke opacity were 92.94, 73.27, 83.60, 88.27, and 92.16 for diesel, (B20) 0%, 5%, 10% and 15% EGR respectively, at full load. Fig. 9 showed that the B20 blend with no EGR resulted in 21.16% lower smoke emissions than diesel fuel [39]. The governing reason behind these observations were the formation of particulate matter due to incomplete combustion, which in turn was an outcome of the insufficient oxygen availability with EGR [40]. It was seen that the smoke opacity was higher in diesel as compared to biofuel with no EGR due to higher oxygen content of biodiesel and hence react with the carbon atoms to form CO or CO₂, thus reduced the amount of smoke generated [41].




Fig. 10. Smoke Opacity with respect to the load at different EGR%

4. Conclusions

The experimental study mainly concentrated on the impact of EGR on the characteristics of CI engine with dairy waste scum oil biodiesel. The EGR technique was applied for three different conditions i.e., with 5, 10 and 15 % EGR, and the results were compared with B20 (no EGR) and diesel.

- The observations showed a rise in BTE with an increase in EGR percentage i.e., the BTE was lowest for B20 with no EGR, while it increased simultaneously with the rate of EGR. Maximum BTE was noticed for B20 with 15% EGR which was 11% higher than diesel. In the case of SFC, it decreased with the rise in EGR %. However, B20 with no EGR resulted in 9.9% higher SFC than diesel.
- Similarly, CO and HC emissions of B20 with no EGR resulted in least emissions which was 8.86% and 18.52% lesser than diesel. Similarly, Smoke opacity of B20 without EGR was 21.16% lower than diesel.
- In contrast to the above behaviour the amount of NO_x released reduced considerably with an increase in rate of EGR. NO_x was highest for B20 without EGR and least for B20 with 15% EGR which was 6.1% lower than diesel. This behaviour of NO_x reduction was the major reason for the incorporation of the EGR technique.

Nomenclature

ASTM	American society of testing and materials
SOB20	Scum oil biodiesel 20% + 80% diesel
BSFC	Brake specific fuel consumption
BTE	Brake thermal efficiency
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
EGR	Exhaust gas re circulation
HC	Hydrocarbons
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
POME	Palmyra oil methyl ester
VCR	Variable compression ratio

Acknowledgments

This work was done by Anjappa S B. etl. We are thankful to the authorities of University of Visvesvaraya College of Engineering, and University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore, India for providing all facilities for completing this work.

References

- [1] Ambat I, Srivastava V, Sillanpää M. Recent advancement in biodiesel production methodologies using various feedstock: a review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.* 2018;90:356-369.
- [2] Baskar G, Gurugulladevi A, Nishanthini T, Aiswarya R, Tamilarasan K. Optimization and kinetics of biodiesel production from Mahua oil using manganese doped zinc oxide nanocatalyst. *Renew Energy.* 2017;103:641-646.
- [3] Channappagoudra M. Comparative study of baseline and modified engine performance operated with dairy scum biodiesel and Bio-CNG. *Renew Energy.* 2020;151:604-618.
- [4] Yatish KV, Harsha Hebbar HR, Sakar M, Balakrishna RG. A comprehensive review on dairy waste-scum as a potential feedstock for biodiesel production. *Process Saf Environ Prot.* 2022;160:921-947.
- [5] Yaliwal VS, Banapurmath NR, Soudagar MEM, Afzal A, Ahmadi P. Effect of manifold and port injection of hydrogen and exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) in dairy scum biodiesel - low energy content gas-fueled CI engine operated on dual fuel mode. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2022;47(10):6873-6897.
- [6] Anand T, Debbarma S. Experimental investigation of fuel injection timing effects on a CRDI diesel engine running on hydrogen-enriched waste plastic oil. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2024;57:1051-1069.
- [7] Velmurugan A, Rajamurugan TV, Rajaganapathy C, Murugapoopathi S, Amesho KTT. Enhancing performance, reducing emissions, and optimizing combustion in compression ignition engines through hydrogen, nitrogen, and EGR addition: an experimental study. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2024;49:1360-1375.

- [8] Saidi M, Amirnia R. Recent advances on application of Metal-Organic framework based catalysts in biodiesel production Process: a review of catalyst types and activity, challenges and opportunities. *Fuel*. 2024;363:130905.
- [9] Maleki B, Ashraf Talesh SS, Mansouri M. Comparison of catalysts types performance in the generation of sustainable biodiesel via transesterification of various oil sources: a review study. *Mater Today Sustain*. 2022;18:100157.
- [10] Singh AK, Singh K. Utilization of whey for the production of instant energy beverage by using response surface methodology. *Adv J Food Sci Technol*. 2012;4(2):103-111.
- [11] Priyanka TA, Gawande AP. Production of biodiesel & glycerine by using dairy waste. *Int J Eng Appl Technol*. 2013;2(1):143-148.
- [12] Sarno M, Iuliano M. Biodiesel production from dairy waste scum by using a efficient nano-biocatalyst. *Chem Eng Trans*. 2020;79:181-186.
- [13] Datta A, Hossain A, Roy S. An overview on biofuels and their advantages and disadvantages. *Asian J Chem*. 2019;31(8):1851-1858.
- [14] Veera Venkata Denish K, Anjappa SB, Anil Kumar S, Mohammed S. CFD simulation and performance testing of producer gas fuelled IC engine. *Int J Emerg Technol Innov Res*. 2023;10(8):c101-c112.
- [15] Liang J, Zhang Q, Chen Z, Zheng Z. The effects of EGR rates and ternary blends of biodiesel/n-pentanol/diesel on the combustion and emission characteristics of a CRDI diesel engine. *Fuel*. 2021;286:119297.
- [16] Rao GP, Prasad LSV. Combined influence of compression ratio and exhaust gas recirculation on the diverse characteristics of the diesel engine fueled with novel palmyra biodiesel blend. *Energy Convers Manag*: X. 2022;14:100185.
- [17] Sakhare NM, Shelke PS, Lahane S. Experimental investigation of effect of exhaust gas recirculation and cottonseed B20 biodiesel fuel on diesel engine. *Procedia Technol*. 2016;25:869-876.
- [18] Öztürk E, Can Ö. Effects of EGR, injection retardation and ethanol addition on combustion, performance and emissions of a DI diesel engine fueled with canola biodiesel/diesel fuel blend. *Energy*. 2022;244:123129.
- [19] Venu H, Subramani L, Raju VD. Emission reduction in a DI diesel engine using exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) of palm biodiesel blended with TiO₂ nano additives. *Renew Energy*. 2019;140:245-263.
- [20] Venkatesan V, Nallusamy N, Nagapandiselvi P. Performance and emission analysis on the effect of exhaust gas recirculation in a tractor diesel engine using pine oil and soapnut oil methyl ester. *Fuel*. 2021;290:120077.
- [21] Jaliliantabar F, Ghobadian B, Carlucci AP, Najafi G, Mamat R, Ficarella A, et al. A comprehensive study on the effect of pilot injection, EGR rate, IMEP and biodiesel characteristics on a CRDI diesel engine. *Energy*. 2020;194:116860.
- [22] Deepak Kumar T, Hussain SS, Ramesha DK. Effect of a zinc oxide nanoparticle fuel additive on the performance and emission characteristics of a CI engine fuelled with cotton seed biodiesel blends. *Mater Today: Proc*. 2020;26:2374-2378.
- [23] Ramesh DK, Dhananjaya Kumar JL, Hemanth Kumar SG, Namith V, Jambagi PB, Sharath S. Study on effects of Alumina nanoparticles as additive with Poultry litter biodiesel on Performance, combustion and emission characteristic of diesel engine. *Mater Today: Proc*. 2018;5(1):1114-1120.
- [24] El-Hamidi M, Zaher FA. Production of vegetable oils in the world and in Egypt: an overview. *Bull Natl Res Cent*. 2018;42(1):19.
- [25] Kulandaivel D, Rahamathullah IG, Sathiyagnanam AP, Gopal K, Damodharan D, Melvin Victor DP. Effect of retarded injection timing and EGR on performance, combustion and emission characteristics of a CRDi diesel engine fueled with WHDPE oil/diesel blends. *Fuel*. 2020;278:118304.
- [26] Öztürk E, Can Ö, Usta N, Yücesu HS. Effects of retarded fuel injection timing on combustion and emissions of a diesel engine fueled with canola biodiesel. *Eng Sci Technol Int J*. 2020;23(6):1466-1475.
- [27] Marri VB, Kotha MM, Gaddale APR. Experimental investigations on the influence of higher injection pressures and retarded injection timings on a single cylinder CRDi diesel engine. *Int J Ambient Energy*. 2021;42(4):444-457.
- [28] M. Nandeesh, Rajashekar CR, Banapurmath NR. Effect of injection timing on the utilization of B20 blends of dairy scum oil methyl esters (Ds_{ome}) fuelled diesel engine. *Eur J Sustain Dev Res*. 2019;3(2):em0082.
- [29] Srikanth HV, Venkatesh J, Godiganur S, Manne B, Bharath Kumar S, Spurthy S. Combustion, performance, and emission characteristics of dairy-washed milk scum biodiesel in a dual cylinder compression ignition engine. *Energy Sources A: Recovery Util Environ Eff*. 2020;42(23):2873-2890.
- [30] Jayaraman J, Alagu K, Venu H, Appavu P, Joy N, Jayaram P, et al. Enzymatic production of rice bran biodiesel and testing of its diesel blends in a four-stroke CI engine. *Energy Sources A: Recovery Util Environ Eff*. 2023;45(2):5340-5351.

- [31] Appavu P, Venkata Ramanan M, Jayaraman J, Venu H. NO_x emission reduction techniques in biodiesel-fuelled CI engine: a review. *Aust J Mech Eng*. 2021;19(2):210-220.
- [32] Lobo A, Ramesha DK. Experimental investigation of performance, emission and combustion characteristics of diesel engine using waste cooking oil-derived biodiesel with ethanol with application of EGR. In: Ramesh CS, Ghosh P, Natarajan E, editors. *Recent Trends in Mechanical Engineering. Lecture notes in mechanical engineering*. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p. 1-17.
- [33] Channappagoudra M, Ramesh K, Manavendra G. Effect of injection timing on diesel engine performance, combustion and emission characteristics operated with Dairy Scum Biodiesel. *Int J Curr Eng Technol*. 2018;8(3):514-525.
- [34] Shivashimpi MM, Banapurmath NR, Alur SA, Khandal SV. Effect of injection parameters: injection timing and injection pressure on the performance of diesel engine fueled with palm oil methyl ester. *Eur J Sustain Deve Res*. 2019;3(2):em007.
- [35] Channappagoudra M, Ramesh K, Manavendra G. Effect of injection timing on modified direct injection diesel engine performance operated with dairy scum biodiesel and Bio-CNG. *Renew Energy*. 2020;147:1019-1032.
- [36] Karthic SV, Senthil Kumar M, Nataraj G, Pradeep P. An assessment on injection pressure and timing to reduce emissions on diesel engine powered by renewable fuel. *J Clean Prod*. 2020;255:120186.
- [37] Diger Kulaksiz B, Paluzar H. Sunflower oil deodorizer distillate as novel feedstock for biodiesel production and its characterization as a fuel. *Biomass Convers Biorefin*. 2023;13:4171-4181.
- [38] Folayan AJ, Anawe PAL, Aladejare AE, Ayeni AO. Experimental investigation of the effect of fatty acids configuration, chain length, branching and degree of unsaturation on biodiesel fuel properties obtained from lauric oils, high-oleic and high-linoleic vegetable oil biomass. *Energy Rep*. 2019;5:793-806.
- [39] Tutunea D. Study of the variation of kinematic viscosity and density of various biodiesel blends with temperature. *Revista de Chimie*. 2018;69(7):1645-1648.
- [40] Alviso D, Saab E, Clevenot P, Romano SD. Flash point, kinematic viscosity and refractive index: variations and correlations of biodiesel–diesel blends. *J Braz Soc Mech Sci Eng*. 2020;42(6):347.
- [41] Giakoumis EG, Sarakatsanis CK. A comparative assessment of biodiesel cetane number predictive correlations based on fatty acid composition. *Energies*. 2019;12(3):422.