

# **Engineering and Applied Science Research**

https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/index

Published by the Faculty of Engineering, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

## A review on the influence of process parameters on powder metallurgy parts

Osarue Osaruene Edosa, Francis Kunzi Tekweme and Kapil Gupta\*

Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Technology, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg-2028, Republic of South Africa

Received 28 June 2021 Revised 6 September 2021 Accepted 15 September 2021

#### Abstract

The capability of powder metallurgy (PM) process to produce high quality components/parts is largely dependent on the control of process parameters. To obtain the desirable quality characteristics or properties in the produced part, an appropriate combination of process parameters is required. This paper presents a detailed review of powder metallurgy process parameters and their effects on a wide range of properties while developing a wide range of metallic and composites products. Key process parameters in this study include compaction pressure, sintering temperature, sintering time, sintering atmosphere, lubrication and reinforcement percentage volume. Their influence on physical properties, mechanical properties and microstructure of PM parts are extensively discussed. An extensive literature study as reported in this paper reveals that compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time and sintering atmosphere highly influence part density and strength, whereas part hardness and wear are greatly affected by hard ceramic reinforcement addition, compaction pressure, sintering temperature and time. Die wall lubrication greatly improve the physical, mechanical properties and microstructure of PM components compared to powder mass lubrication. It is observed that the powder metallurgy process conducted at optimum parameters produce quality products. This paper aims to facilitate researchers and scholars by providing a detail knowledge of PM process parameters and their effects, for them to conduct research and development to establish the field further.

Keywords: Composites, Mechanical properties, Microstructure, Powder metallurgy

## 1. Introduction

The demand for high-quality products for automobiles, biomedicine, and other engineering applications has ensured the continued growth of the powder metallurgy (PM) industry. Due to its flexibility, environmental friendliness and excellent process control, this processing technology is most suitable for producing high density and high strength-to weight components [1-4]. Parts made of metallic alloys and composites have been manufactured through the PM process. Examples include; surgical implants, tungsten filaments for incandescent lamp bulbs, oil-impregnated bearings and gears, connecting rods, piston rings, gears, cams, bushings, bearings, and cutting tools [2, 5]. PM is one of the solid processing routes developed to overcome the problems associated with other fabrication methods. It is well suited for advanced processing, a vital requirement for high-performance, cost-effective products for the present-day global competitive market [6]. PM uses metallic powder to produce parts; it maximizes the use of materials and can be used to transform metals that seem impossible by other fabrication and processing techniques. Sources of powder are metals and ceramics. The most commonly used metals are aluminum, steel, iron, magnesium, and copper, while widely used ceramics are Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiC, SiC, B<sub>4</sub>C, WC, and fly ash [7-9]. The process can be designed to produce net shape or near-net shape components/parts. In near-net-shape, secondary operations such as machining, finishing, or grinding are necessary to improve dimensional accuracy, appearance, and surface finish, etc. Some of the advantages of the PM process include controlled microstructure, uniform distribution of powder particles, and little or no interfacial reaction [10]. Some of the limitations include not being suitable for parts with large and complex geometry. This is because the flow property of metallic powder restricts the complexity of part shape. Most PM products are simple and weigh less than 3.0 kg, but parts that weigh as much as 40 kg can be produced. It is also not suitable for low volume production due to the high setup cost. It is preferable for medium to mass production of parts [11].

The basic steps involved in a PM process are (i) powder mixing/blending (ii) powder compaction and (iii) sintering of green compacts (Figure 1). Each operation has unique parameters which can be controlled to obtain the best property of the part. Powder mixing involves the mingling of different powders to achieve homogeneity. This prepares the powder for compaction. During mixing, magnesium can be added in a small amount to serve as a binder [12] and wax or zinc stearate as a lubricant to reduce friction during compaction. Die wall lubrication improves the properties and microstructure of PM parts compared to powder lubrication [13, 14]. Powders with mixed particle sizes reduce porosity compared to powder with uniform particle size [15, 16]. An accurate combination of powder particle sizes is essential for improved microstructure and mechanical properties.

Applied compaction pressure transforms the loose powder into a solid mass to form the required shape. It can be performed cold or warm [17-19], and pressure applied may be in a uniaxial or biaxial direction [20, 21]. Conventional compaction can be modified

into isostatic pressing, where parts can be produced in a flexible mould using pressure applied from all directions. Components made from hot isostatic pressing exhibited higher density, mechanical strength and improved microstructure [22-24].



Figure 1 Illustration of powder metallurgy process

Sintering increases the density and strength of the green compact and eliminate lubricants and binders used during mixing and compaction. The heat applied during sintering causes solid-state bonding of powder particles. An increase in sintering temperature and time increases metallic powder particle diffusion, followed by part shrinkage resulting in pore size reduction and denser structure [25]. During sintering, there is a need to control the furnace atmosphere to prevent the contamination of PM parts and improve quality. Widely used furnace atmosphere are nitrogen, hydrogen, argon, and vacuum furnace atmosphere.

Process parameters significantly influenced the physical properties, mechanical properties and microstructure of PM parts. The effects of these parameters such as compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time and atmosphere, lubrication, particle size and reinforcement percentage volume on density, strength, hardness and wear have been extensively investigated [26-28]. Most research focus in PM has been on increasing the density and improving the microstructure of PM parts which ultimately increases mechanical properties. According to Li et al [26], Bardhan et al [29], PM compact density depends on compaction pressure, sintering temperature and powder type. Pani and Khuntia [30] observed that the surface smoothness of PM compacts increases with a rise in compaction pressure and temperature.

This review investigates the process parameters and performance of the PM method to produce metallic and composites parts. The influences of processing parameters such as compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time and atmosphere, reinforcement volume, etc., on density, porosity, mechanical properties, and microstructures have been reviewed and discussed. Effects of Optimal processing parameters on the overall quality of PM components are also highlighted.

## 2. Powder metallurgy process parameters

The quality of PM components is dependent on the control of process parameters. Several parameters have been identified as factors that can influence the outcome of PM products. Parameters such as milling time, compaction pressure, compaction temperature, compaction duration, sintering temperature, sintering duration, sintering atmosphere, lubricant concentration, reinforcement

concentration and powder particle size, and their effects on product quality have been widely investigated [31-36]. For instance, Stalin [37], Umasankar [38] reported that compacting pressure and reinforcement concentration influenced density and hardness significantly. Leszczyńska-Madej [39] observed that the tribological properties of metal matrix composites were greatly enhanced by sintering temperature and hard ceramic addition. Table 1 shows workable ranges of some PM parameters used for different studies. It will facilitate the selection of process parameters for future work.

	PM process parameters							
Materials	Comp. pressure Pc (MPa)	Comp. Temp. (° C)	Particle size (P <sub>s</sub> ) μm	Sintering temp (T <sub>s</sub> ) (°C)	Sintering time (t <sub>s</sub> ) (min)	Optimal Ts, ts	Sintering Atmosphere	Ref
Al-GNP composites.	600	RT	8-15	550-630	60-300	630, 180	vacuum	[25]
Al/SiC/B4C	150	RT	-	610	15	-	Nitrogen	[40]
Al/zircon	440	CIP	-	600-650	65	650, 65	Argon	[41]
Al alloy/ fly ash	200-515	RT	20/150	575-625	90	-	Nitrogen, vacuum	[20]
Al/Mg	489	28-150	122/144	400-460	60	-	Argon	[18]
Cu-Re	350-650	100-160	-	300-900	60-180	-	Argon	[42]
Iron powder	400-850	RT	5, 45, 63, 80nm	500-1120	20-30	900, 20	Vacuum, Nitrogen	[36]
Al-Al2O3	440	RT	30/3, 12, 48	500-600	30-90	600, 45	Argon	[27]
Cu/graphite	700	RT		900	60	-	Argon	[43]
Al/fly ash	414	RT	70-106	600-645	30-360	-	Nitrogen	[44]
Al/Pb/fly-ash	200-400	RT	-	500-590	45	-	Argon	[10]
AA6061/SiC	350-550	RT		400-600	60-180	-	Nitrogen	[38]
Al/xGnP	500	RT	-	400-600	300	-	-	[35]
MWCNTs/Al	30	RT	20-40nm/25	500-650	120-360	590, 240	Argon, Vacuum	[26]
6711Al/SiC	400	RT		570-630	60	630, 60	Vacuum	[45]
316L stainlesssteel	800	RT		1300	30	-	Nitrogen, Argon	[46]
Iron powder ASC 10.29	400	120-180	20-180	900-990	30	990, 30	Argon	[47]
Al/SiC	300	RT	63/40-60	580-620	60	620, 60	Nitrogen	[39]
AA6061/SiC	350-550	RT	35	400-600	60-180	-	Nitrogen	[38]
Al6061/SiC/Gr	250-750	RT	-	620-630	60	-	Nitrogen	[48]
AISI 316L stainless steel	600	RT	-	1200- 1400	60	-	Hydrogen	[49]
Mg/SiC	125	RT	-	465	60	-	Argon	[32]
Mg/TiC/MoS2	740	RT		530	60	-	-	[50]
Mg/WC/Gr	200	RT	50	500	60	-	-	[51]
Mg/SiC	650	RT	-	500-670	30	-	-	[52]
Cu/Co/Mo	600	RT	44/1.8/44	1000	30	-	-	[53]
Cu/WC/SiC	45KN	-	<80/49	870-984	90	-	-	[54]
Ti alloy (Ti-6Al- 4Zr-0.5Mo-0.6Si)	200	CIP	-	1300	240	-	Vacuum	[55]
Al/MoO <sub>3</sub>	200-300	RT	-	400-600	60-180		-	[37]
Cu matrix composites	550-650	-		800-900	60-90	800- 900, 60- 90	-	[56]
RT-Room Temperature, CIP-Cold Isostatic Pressing								

Table 1 A summary of ranges of powder metallurgy process parameters used by different researchers

#### 2.1 Powder particle size distribution and mixing

According to Prem Prakash Seth [31], particle shapes and sizes significantly enhance PM parts' mechanical properties and microstructure. Some of the unique shapes include triangular, spherical, irregular, etc. [57-59]. Commonly used powder particle sizes are in the micro-scale i.e.,  $\sim$ (1-200µm) and currently the nano-scale i.e.,  $\sim$ (1-200nm) is also in application [32, 60]. Generally, powder with fine particle sizes produces parts with high density, enhanced microstructure and mechanical properties compared to larger particle sizes. A correct combination of powder particle sizes reduces porosity and significantly improves microstructure and mechanical properties [15, 36]. Powder with mixed particle sizes perform better than powder with uniform distribution [22, 61]. For example, a mixture of particle size of 20µm Al7075 (matrix) and 20wt% 120µm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (reinforcement) produced composite with the highest density, hardness and compressive strength [15].

Powder mixing/milling is the first step in the PM processing sequence and it is performed using a ball mill. Milling of powder particles results in a homogeneous mixture, preventing agglomeration, a significant problem associated with powder processing [62]. Generally, As percentage reinforcement/alloying volume increases, particle clustering increases. A recent study by Ponhan et al [32] observed that a more homogeneous powder mixture was achieved even at a higher volume fraction of reinforcements at a longer milling time using a ball mill. The use of ball milling during PM can be said to achieve two significant purposes; firstly, to ensure homogeneity of the powder mixture and secondly to break down powder particles into more acceptable sizes. This ultimately results in higher mechanical properties and improved microstructure. This finding is consistent with previous investigations [63, 64].

In an earlier study, Wang et al. [65] used a two-step processing method to improve the homogenous distribution of reinforcing particulates over the magnesium matrix. Microstructural characterization of the magnesium composites showed a homogeneous distribution of the particulates over the matrix, but with increased porosity.

During milling, a control agent such as alcohol is added to the powder mixture to prevent agglomeration [27]. For ease of mixing, the container should be less than 90% capacity [40, 43, 66]. For higher efficiency of mixing, appropriate selection of mixing parameters is necessary. Commonly used milling parameters are mixing speed, mixing time and ball-to-powder weight ratio (BPR). The mixing speed should be within the range of ~(150-200) rpm and the mixing/milling time should be long enough for the powder particles to attain uniformity to prevent particle clustering [48, 67].

#### 2.2 Powder compaction and lubrication

Powder mixtures are compacted in a die cavity using a punch to form green compact. The compaction pressure transforms the loose metallic powder into rigid mass to form shape. As the applied pressure increases, powder particle consolidation increases such that certain degree of cold welding occurs [18, 19, 68]. The green compact should have high density and strength to prevent breakage during ejection. When the actual or measured density is almost equal to theoretical density i.e. relative density (RD) close to 100%, it means that sufficient compaction has been performed, as density increases porosity decreases [61, 69].

Compaction can be performed cold or warm [70]. Cold compaction is performed at room temperature. In warm compaction, the die or powder mixture is heated to a suitable temperature usually below 200°C before the application of pressure. The different compaction temperature used by different authors are shown in Table 1. Xiao et al [19], compared the effect of cold and warm compaction on the properties of PM parts. Results reveal that green density and strength were higher with warm compaction. This finding is consistent with other investigations [42, 66]. The applied compaction pressure not only enabled the shaping of green compact but also to overcome friction between metal particles and die wall. Friction causes density variation and pressure loss during powder compaction [21]. To increase the effectiveness of the applied pressure, the use of lubricants is recommended [67]. Base powder types, lubricant types and concentration are key considerations during lubrication, their effects can significantly impact on product quality [71, 72]. Several lubricants have been used for PM studies, they include: zinc stearate (or) stearic acid, lithium stearate, mixture of silicon fluid and graphite powder, ethylene bis-stearamide (EBS), polystyrene wax, and paraffin wax [73, 74].

The use of lubricant for powder compaction offers both advantages and limitations. The advantages include: (i). prevention of die wall friction, (ii). minimization of interparticle friction (iii). prevention of density variation, (iv). improvement in the flow properties of powder particles (v). easy ejection of green compacts, and (vi). prevention of tool wear. The major limitation is the reduction in density and mechanical properties of sintered compacts for lubricant mixed with powder mass. Lubricants can be applied either on the die walls or admixed with the metallic powder mass. Studies conducted to investigate the effects of lubrication on the physical and mechanical properties of PM parts revealed that parts with die wall lubrication exhibited higher density and mechanical properties of PM products, no lubricants should be added to the powder mass [21]. In the case where the use of lubricant on the powder mass is unavoidable a low melting lubricant with optimal concentration should be used [71, 77]. Optimal lubricant concentration improves product quality, but excess lubrication can have a deleterious effect on microstructure. High lubricant concentration in the powder mass can obstruct particle to particle contact necessary for strong bonding as well as create problem of overly protracted burnout time to remove the lubricant from the sintered compact. When the lubricant is eventually burnt out, it creates micro-voids in the microstructure which results in poor mechanical properties of the final products [77]. Lubricant concentration less or equal to 0.5wt% has been recommended for optimal performance [78, 79].

#### 2.3 Sintering temperature, time and atmosphere

To further consolidate on the properties of green compacts, they are subjected to heat treatment known as sintering. During sintering, the grains of the green compacts get welded together at a temperature usually below the melting point of the matrix metal to form stronger bond. Notable properties that can be affected by sintering include density, volume, strength, hardness etc. [20, 26].

Temperature, time and furnace atmosphere are important sintering parameters that can impact on the quality of PM parts [25, 80]. To obtain the highest density and mechanical properties, sintering temperature and time should be optimized. Too low or too high sintering temperature and too short or too long sintering time may cause grain growth and weak interparticle bond which can undermine the properties of final product [57, 81]. Table 1 shows the different sintering temperature, time and furnace atmosphere used for different studies.

Most sintering processes are solid phase sintering where the powder constituent remains solid throughout the heat treatment process. However, there are instances where the melting point of one of the powder constituents is far below that of the matrix metal. At high temperature, the metal particles with lower melting point melt while the rest constituents remain solid. The melted metal wets other solid particles to form a strong bond with a dense structure. Padmavathi et al [45] observed that during the sintering of 6711Al-SiC composite compacts, a liquid phase of AlMg2Si was formed at 586°C before attaining the sintering temperature of 630°C. Furthermore, during sintering of Al/Pb/10 wt% fly-ash composite compact, Reddy et al [10] noted that at the temperature range of 500°C-560°C, a liquid phase was formed due to the melting of Pb. Beyond 590°C solid aluminum particle diffusion was prevalent, resulting in a denser structure. Liquid phase sintering enhances microstructure and consequently physical and mechanical properties of PM parts. However, caution must be taken to prevent chemical reactions that may result in the formation of undesirable chemical compounds.

During sintering, the furnace atmosphere is expected to be controlled. The need for a controlled furnace atmosphere is to prevent material contamination and enhance microstructure and mechanical properties. Studies have shown that gases such as argon, nitrogen, hydrogen etc. can be used to control the furnace atmosphere [39, 49, 82]. A vacuum furnace atmosphere is also an effective furnace atmosphere for sintering. Materials such as tungsten carbide (WC), stainless steel etc. have been sintered using a vacuum furnace atmosphere [80]. Naci Kurgan [46], Xue et al [83] used argon and nitrogen gas as sintering furnace atmospheres for their studies. It was found that the specimen sintered in nitrogen furnace atmosphere showed higher physical and mechanical properties compared to specimen sintered in argon furnace atmosphere.

## 3. Effects of process parameters

## 3.1 On density and porosity

High density PM compact is a result of little or no pore spaces in the powder particle arrangement. Density is significantly influenced by compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time, lubrication and reinforcement concentration [84-86]. Porosity in PM compacts is assumed eliminated when the sintered density equals the theoretical density. This cannot be practically achieved due to the efficiency of the PM process. Porosity increases due to inadequate milling time, compaction pressure, sintering temperature, and sintering time. Particle clustering adversely affects the physical/mechanical properties and microstructure of PM parts. Therefore proper milling is essential for enhanced properties (see Figure 2). Other factors that may increase porosity in PM compacts are lubrication, particle size distribution and percentage reinforcement volume [25, 44, 87]. The relationship between particle packing density and porosity can be explained further using equation (1)

$$\rho + \phi = 1.0 \tag{1}$$

Where,

 $\rho$  is the particle packing density, and  $\phi$  is the porosity.

An increase in compaction pressure increases the particle packing density. When  $\rho$  is equal to one, it means porosity is zero, and measured density equals theoretical density [15, 61]. In an investigation on the effect of compaction load and particle size on porosity of aluminum alloy compact, the lowest porosity was at a higher compaction load and mixed particle size distribution, i.e. ( $25\mu$ m+100 $\mu$ ). Reports have it that powder with assorted particle sizes are less porous than powder with uniform particle sizes.

Iron compact with die wall lubrication, sintered at 1300°C exhibited higher density compared to iron compact with powder lubrication. Diffusion rate and pore shrinkages increased as sintering temperature and time increased, resulting in a denser structure [35]. Furthermore, Gokçe and Fındık [88] compared the green and sintered density of Al-Mg compact prepared from powder with and without admixed lubricant. Aluminum compacts without admixed lubricant gave the highest density.

The use of ceramic reinforcement increases porosity in metal matrix composites. This may be due to the porous nature of ceramic materials. As the percentage volume reinforcement increases, porosity increases [87, 89]. Porosity in Al/Gr/SiC composites significantly increased when the percentage volume of SiC reinforcement was above 10%. This finding is consistent with the studies of Venkatesh and Harish [90] and Padmavathi et al. [45].



Figure 2 Effect of milling time on the density and porosity of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites [91]

#### 3.2 On strength and ductility

The porosity and strength of inter-particle bonds primarily influence PM parts' mechanical properties and microstructure. Effects of compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time and atmosphere, powder particle size, reinforcement concentration, and lubrication on strength and ductility of PM parts have been investigated [57, 92-94]. Increasing compaction pressure reduces pore spaces in powder particle arrangement by increasing particle-to-particle contact area, allowing cold welding to take place. Increasing sintering temperature increases the diffusion rate of metallic particles, thereby filling vacant positions. This results in a denser structure and consequently reduced porosity [95]. For example, GX40CrNiSi25-20 stainless steel compact sintered at 1300°C for 3hrs exhibited higher tensile strength compared to compacts sintered at 1200°C [82]. In another instance, increasing the sintering temperature of MWCNTs/Al compact from 590°C to 650°C, a sintering time of 4hrs, increased the tensile strength from 156MPa to 167MPa. Materials respond to sintering temperature and duration differently; this may be due to their chemical composition and phase characteristics. Rahman et al [47] reported that the strength of sintered iron compact, warm compacted at 180°C increased from 320MPa to 620MPa as the sintering temperature increased from 900°C to 990°C for a sintering duration of 30mins. Warm compaction reduces friction between powder particles and die wall and increases lubricant effectiveness [96]. Moreover, Rahimian et al. [27] reported that the ductility of Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites increased as the sintering temperature and time increased. The best value of ductility was at 600°C and 60 mins sintering time. Increasing sintering time from 60mins to 90mins had little or no effect on specimen sintered at 600°C. Low sintering temperature and time result in weak inter-particle bonds and ultimately low strength and ductility. Higher tensile strength can be achieved when lubricants are used on the die wall only. The use of lubricants on powder mass has not produced the best results notwithstanding, a concentration less than or equal to 0.5wt% has been recommended [88, 97].

The addition of ceramic reinforcement increases strength but decreases ductility. However, high volume reinforcement decreases both strength and ductility [56]. This is because of the inherent porosity and particle agglomeration associated with a high volume of ceramic reinforcement. According to Padmavathi et al [45], Prakash et al [98], Liu et al [99], the highest tensile strength recorded was at a percentage reinforcement volume of about ~10% for micro-size and much lesser for nano-size particles. In addition, fine particles perform better than coarse particles because they have fewer pore spaces than coarse particles. According to Rahimian et al [27], the best yield stress value for Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites was at a sintering temperature of  $600^{\circ}$ C and particle size less than  $10\mu$ m. Hassani et al. [59] reported that a reduction in particle size from 16 to 12 µm caused a decreased porosity by 3.7%, increasing tensile strength and ductility.

The concentration of furnace atmosphere can impact strength and ductility of PM parts. More so, some furnace atmospheres are more effective than others. Butkovic et al. [82] and Kurgan [46] reported that Compacts sintered in nitrogen atmosphere exhibited higher strength but reduced ductility. In contrast, compacts sintered in hydrogen and argon showed higher ductility but reduced strength. More investigations are needed in this area to substantiate this finding further.

## 3.3 On hardness

Metals and alloys can be made harder for tailored application, especially for the production of cutting tools. The addition of Ceramic materials such as SiC, B<sub>4</sub>C, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and WC, to metal matrices and the optimization of processing parameters such as milling time, compaction pressure, sintering temperature, time, etc., increase hardness [90, 100-102]. As illustrated in Figure 3, hardness of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite increases as the milling time increases. Homogeneous distribution of reinforcing/alloying particulates over the matrix can be achieved through milling, which results in an increase in hardness. Ravi Kumar et al [51], reported a significant increase in the hardness of magnesium composite on the addition of tungsten carbide and graphite. However, tungsten carbide had more substantial effect than graphite. Gurbuz et al [25] reported the highest hardness value of sintered Al/GNP composite at a temperature of 630°C, sintering time of 3hrs and reinforcement percentage volume of 1wt%. In a similar study, Latief et al [35] observed that the hardness of Al/GNP composite sintered at 600°C for 5hrs increases as the GNP reinforcement addition increases up to 5wt%. The different hardness values obtained may be due to the variation in processing parameters, chemical composition and purity of phases. In a critical study [27, 23], the highest hardness value for sintered Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite was at a sintering temperature of 600°C. Higher temperature promotes faster diffusion of metallic particles, faster neck growth to form stronger inter-particle bonds. Furthermore, reports show that the highest hardness value of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites was with particle size less than 10µm and a percentage reinforcement volume of 20wt% [67, 48]. Other examples of particle sizes used that have produced superior hardness include 30µm [101], (20µm+120µm) [15] etc. PM parts developed using fine powder particles perform better than parts produced using coarse particles. However fine powder particles require higher compaction pressure to achieve high green density and hardness [36]. Coarse particle sizes are easier to deform as compaction pressure increases, resulting in increased porosity. The addition of ceramic reinforcement such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to aluminum matrix increases hardness, this is because Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is naturally harder than aluminum. As the percentage reinforcement volume increases (≤20wt%), hardness increases [103]. Another reason for increased hardness is the strengthening mechanism of ceramic materials. The addition of ceramic material to metal matrix impedes dislocation motion, and this increases hardness.



Figure 3 Effect of milling time on micro-hardness (HV) and nano-hardness (HN) of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites [91].

A critical study reveals that the stainless-steel compact sintered in nitrogen furnace atmosphere exhibited improved hardness than the stainless steel compact sintered in argon [46]. Nitrogen gas can form nitride, and the nitride formed impacts microstructure by decreasing pore spaces. This ultimately increases density and hardness.

## 3.4 On wear characteristics

Wear of surfaces which is a common phenomenon associated with mating parts in relative motion is undesirable. The occurrence of wear causes failures of machine elements that results in material loss. Hardened materials tend to have higher wear resistance than softer materials. The wear properties of PM parts can be enhanced by the addition of hard ceramic reinforcement such as TiC, B4C, SiC, n-ZrO2, and the optimization of processing parameters such as sintering temperature, time, and reinforcement concentration [104, 62, 50, 39]. Rahimian et al [67] discovered that the wear rate of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite increased by 7% when the sintering temperature increased from 550°C to 600°C after a sintering time of 45 mins. But an increase of 22% was observed when the sintering time increased from 45mins to 90mins. Besides increasing wear rate by 22%, an increase in grain area from 820µm to 1723µm was also observed. Excess sintering time causes grain growth (increase in grain area), resulting in reduced hardness and increased wear rate. Some studies

discovered that the use of hybrid reinforcements such as (Gr+MoS2), (TiC+MoS2) resulted in an improvement in the tribological properties of magnesium composites [105, 50]. However, molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) reinforcement was more effective in reducing wear loss in magnesium composites when compared to graphite reinforcement.

In the wear mechanism of Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites, the dominant material removal modes are abrasion, adhesion, and delamination, contingent upon the applied load and sliding velocity [106]. Furthermore, a study by Diler et al [23] to investigate the effect of particle size and reinforcement volume on the wear rate of Al/SiC composites discovered that the highest wear resistance was at a particle size of 91µm and a reinforcement volume of 15wt%.

#### 3.5 On fracture behaviour

Generally, the fracture modes of pure metals are ductile, with surfaces characterized by small dimples. At low sintering temperature and high percentage volume reinforcement addition, porosity of sintered compact increases while ductility reduces. The effect of this on fracture behaviour is a ductile-brittle fracture mode [22, 26]. Leszczyńska-Madej [39] observed that the fractography of sintered aluminum at various temperatures revealed elongated small dimple colonies as evidence of ductile fracture. However, sintering at a temperature of 620°C was sufficient to form a stronger inter-particle bond thereby reducing porosity.

In a critical study investigating the effect of sintering atmosphere on fracture behaviour, Naci Kurgan [46] observed that coalescence of pores was more in the ductile fracture surface of 316L stainless steel compacts sintered in argon atmosphere than those sintered in nitrogen atmosphere under the same processing condition.

## 3.6 On microstructure

Microstructural characterization of sintered compacts is quite essential for the determination of microstructural features. Attributes such as micro and macro porosity, pore size, particle size, shape and distribution, phase structure etc. can be determined using optical microscope, scanning electron microscope equipped with EDS (SEM-EDS) and X-ray diffractometer (XRD). Before microstructural examination is performed, the surface of the compacts is polished and after which etched by Keller's reagent. However, etching process is not compulsory [20, 25, 88].

The influence of processing parameters on the microstructure of PM parts has been extensively investigated [41, 46, 57, 82]. Improved microstructure of PM parts is a function of homogenous distribution of the reinforcing/alloying phase over the matrix. As shown in Figures 4(a-b) and Figures 5(b-c), few pore spaces are seen on the microstructure of the aluminum composite due to the homogenous distribution of SiC and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reinforcement over the aluminum matrix respectively. XRD pattern analysis of Figure 4(d) indicates interfacial compound in the microstructure. This occurs at higher sintering temperature and longer sintering time [39, 67, 107]. Figure 5a shows the uniform distribution of Si into Al matrix, whereas Figure 5d illustrates the occurrence of pores etc. when the reinforcement reaches 10wt% [108].



Figure 4 Microstructure of Al-Cu-Si-Mg/SiC. (a) 5wt% SiC (b) 10wt%SiC. XRD pattern of Al composite (c) 5wt% SiC (d)10wt% SiC [109].





As reported by Li et al [26], an increase in sintering time from 4hrs to 6hrs for Al/MWCNT composite specimen sintered at 590°C, resulted in the formation of Al<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub> brittle compound. Al<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub> compound is undesirable because it can degrade the mechanical properties of composites. In another study by Dhanashekar et al [104], Padmavathi et al [45], it was observed that Al<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub> interfacial compound was not found in the phase structure of Al/SiC composites sintered for 1hr. The reason for this may be due to optimal sintering time of 1hr. Longer sintering time causes a chemical reaction between material phases, resulting in inter-facial compound in the microstructure. Another reason may be that the XRD has poor sensitivity and as such could not detect the presence of Al<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>.

#### 4. Conclusion

After careful review of several studies on powder metallurgy, its process parameters and their effects, the following conclusion can be drawn. As an established production process, powder metallurgy is most suitable for the production of metallic and metal matrix composites parts. The metals commonly used for powder metallurgy are aluminum, steels, magnesium and copper. PM processing parameters that can significantly influence product outcome include milling time, compaction pressure, sintering temperature, sintering time, furnace atmosphere, lubrication, powder particle size and reinforcement concentration. Due to excellent process control, powder metallurgy components are characterized by little porosity, higher density, refined microstructure and enhanced mechanical properties. Comparatively, Powder metallurgy products are superior to as cast products. For best values of density, strength, hardness and wear resistance, lubrication should be performed on the die wall only. In the case of powder mass lubrication, the lubricant concentration should be  $\leq 10$ wt% for the best value of strength and  $\leq 20$ wt% for the best value of hardness and wear resistance. Formation of deleterious compound like Al<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub> can be prevented if the sintering temperature and time are not excessive.

In PM process, selection of processing parameter values is dependent on the properties of base metals. For aluminum powder, compaction pressure of (150-650) MPa, sintering temperature of  $(400^{\circ}C-650^{\circ}C)$  and sintering time of (15-360) mins have been used. For iron and steel powder, compaction pressure of (400-850) MPa, sintering temperature of  $(900^{\circ}C-1400^{\circ}C)$  and sintering time of (20-60) mins have been used. For Magnesium powder, compaction pressure of (125-740) MPa, sintering temperature of  $(400-670)^{\circ}C$ , and sintering time of (30-60) mins have been used. For copper powder, compaction pressure of (200-800) MPa, sintering temperature of  $(300-000)^{\circ}C$ , and sintering time of (30-180) mins have been used.

Sintering furnace atmosphere plays a critical role in PM process and as such should be well controlled. Property variations of sintered parts may be caused by sintering gas concentration in the furnace. More so, some sintering gases are more effective than others. More studies should be conducted to investigate the effectiveness of sintering gases in relation to base metal powder.

### 5. References

- [1] Tan ZQ, Zhang Q, Guo XY, Zhao WJ, Zhou CS, Liu Y. New development of powder metallurgy in automotive industry. J Cent South Univ. 2020;27:1611-23.
- [2] Jayasathyakawin S, Ravichandran M, Baskar N, Chairman CA, Balasundaram R. Magnesium matrix composite for biomedical applications through powder metallurgy-review. Mater Today Proc. 2020;27:736-41.
- [3] Guo RP, Xu L, Wu J, Yang R. Preparation and mechanical properties of powder metallurgy Ti-6Al-4V alloy produced by hot isostatic pressing. Mater Res Innovat. 2015;19:S917-9.
- [4] Senthilvelan T, Raghukandan K, Venkatraman A. Testing and quality standards for powder metallurgy products. Mater Manuf Process. 2003;18(1):105-12.
- [5] Selcuk C, Bond S, Woollin P. Joining processes for powder metallurgy parts: a review. Powder Metall. 2010;53(1):7-11.
- [6] Wang HK, Wang ZH, Wang MC. Using the Taguchi method for optimization of the powder metallurgy forming process for Industry 3.5. Comput Ind Eng. 2020;148:106635.
- [7] Mohanavel V, Vinoth T, Iyankumar R, Vinoth N. Mechanical and corrosion behaviour of copper matrix composites fabricated by powder metallurgy process. Mater Today Proc. 2020;33:3394-8.

- [8] Meignanamoorthy M, Ravichandran M. Synthesis of metal matrix composites via powder metallurgy route: a review. Mech Mech Eng. 2018;22(1):65-75.
- [9] Tan MJ, Zhang X. Powder metal matrix composites: selection and processing. Mater Sci Eng A. 1998;244:80-5.
- [10] Reddy SP, Ramana B, Reddy AC. Sintering characteristics of Al-Pb/fly-ash metal matrix composites. Trans Indian Inst Met. 2013;66(1):87-95.
- [11] Chauhan S, Verma V, Prakash U, Tewari PC, Khanduja D. Analysis of powder metallurgy process parameters for mechanical properties of sintered Fe-Cr-Mo alloy steel. Mater Manuf Process. 2017;32(5):537-41.
- [12] Purohit R, Rana RS, Verma CS. Fabrication of Al-SiCp composites through powder metallurgy process and testing of properties. 2012;2(3):420-37.
- [13] Li YY, Ngai TL, Zhang DT, Long Y, Xia W. Effect of die wall lubrication on warm compaction powder metallurgy. J Mater Process Tech. 2002;129(1-3):354-8.
- [14] Ward M, Billington JC. Effect of zinc stearate on apparent density, mixing, and compaction/ejection of iron powder compacts. Powder Metall. 1979;22(4):201-8.
- [15] Javdani A, Pouyafar V, Ameli A, Volinsky AA. Blended powder semisolid forming of Al7075/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites: investigation of microstructure and mechanical properties. Mater Des. 2016;109:57-67.
- [16] Sternowsky SB, O'Donnell G, Looney L. Effect of particle size on the mechanical properties of SiC particulate reinforced aluminium alloy AA6061. Key Eng Mater. 1997;127-131:455-62.
- [17] Akhtar S, Saad M, Misbah MR, Sati MC. Recent advancements in powder metallurgy: a review. Mater Today Proc. 2018;5:18649-55.
- [18] Iwaoka T, Nakamura M. Effect of compaction temperature on sinter ability of magnesium and aluminum powder mixtures by warm compaction method. Mater Trans. 2011;52(5):943-7.
- [19] Xiao ZY, Ke MY, Chen WP, Ni DH, Li YY. A study on warm compacting behaviors of 316L stainless steel powder. Mater Sci Forum. 2004;471-472:443-7.
- [20] Siddhi Jailani H, Rajadurai A, Mohan B, Senthil Kumar A, Sornakumar T. Development and properties of aluminium silicon alloy fly ash composites. Powder Metall 2011;54(4):474-9.
- [21] Jiang G, Daehn GS, Lannutti JJ, Fu Y, Wagoner RH. Effects of lubrication and aspect ratio on the consolidation of metal matrix composites under cyclic pressure. Acta Mater. 2001;49(8):1471-7.
- [22] Huang X, Lang L, Wang G, Alexandrov S. Effect of powder size on microstructure and mechanical properties of 2A12Al compacts fabricated by hot isostatic pressing. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2018;2018:1-7.
- [23] Diler EA, Ghiami A, Ipek R. Effect of high ratio of reinforcement particle size to matrix powder size and volume fraction on microstructure, densification and tribological properties of SiCp reinforced metal matrix composites manufactured via hot pressing method. Int J Refract Met Hard Mater. 2015;52:183-94.
- [24] Rao KP, Prasad YVRK, Suresh K. Hot working behavior and processing map of a γ-TiAl alloy synthesized by powder metallurgy. Mater Des. 2011;32(10):4874-81.
- [25] Gurbuz M, Can Şenel M, Koc E. The effect of sintering time, temperature, and graphene addition on the hardness and microstructure of aluminum composites. J Compos Mater. 2018;52:553-63.
- [26] Li C, Liu X, Yi J, Teng L, Bao R, Tan J, et al. Effects of sintering parameters on the microstructure and mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes reinforced aluminum matrix composites. J Mater Res. 2016;31(23):3757-65.
- [27] Rahimian M, Ehsani N, Parvin N, reza Baharvandi H. The effect of particle size, sintering temperature and sintering time on the properties of Al-Al2O3 composites, made by powder metallurgy. J Mater Process Tech. 2009;209(14):5387-93.
- [28] Cherian RP, Smith LN, Midha PS. Neural network approach for selection of powder metallurgy materials and process parameters. Artif Intell Eng. 2000;14(1):39-44.
- [29] Bardhan PK, Patra S, Sutradhar G. Analysis of density of sintered iron powder component using the response surface method. Mater Sci Appl. 2010;1(3):152-7.
- [30] Pani BB, Khuntia SK. Surface Roughness analysis of powder metallurgy components from low and high carbon content ferrous powders. Int J Eng Manuf Sci. 2017;7(2):327-38.
- [31] Seth PP, Parkash O, Kumar D. Studies on the effect of processing parameters on microstructure and properties of magnesium compacts prepared via powder metallurgy. Trans Indian Inst Met. 2020;73:2715-26.
- [32] Ponhan K, Tassenberg K, Weston D, Nicholls K, Thornton R. Effect of SiC nanoparticle content and milling time on the microstructural characteristics and properties of Mg-SiC nanocomposites synthesized with powder metallurgy incorporating high-energy ball milling. Ceram Int. 2020;46(17):26956-69.
- [33] Hussain M, Khan S, Sarmah P. Optimization of powder metallurgy processing parameters of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Cu composite through Taguchi method with Grey relational analysis. J King Saud Univ Eng Sci. 2020;32(4):274-86.
- [34] Daud ZC, Jamaludin SB. The effect of sintering on the properties of powder metallurgy (PM) F-75 alloy. Adv Mater Res. 2013;795(2013):573-7.
- [35] Latief FH, Sherif ESM. Effects of sintering temperature and graphite addition on the mechanical properties of aluminum. J Ind Eng Chem. 2012;18(6):2129-34.
- [36] Delavari M, Salarvand A, Rahi A, Shahri F. The effect of powder metallurgy process parameters on mechanical properties of micro and nano-iron powder. Int J Eng Sci Tech. 2012;3(9):86-94.
- [37] Sudha GT, Stalin B, Ravichandran M, Balasubramanian M. Mechanical properties, characterization and wear behavior of powder metallurgy composites-a review. Mater Today Proc. 2019;22:2582-96.
- [38] Umasankar V, Xavior AM, Karthikeyan S. Experimental evaluation of the influence of processing parameters on the mechanical properties of SiC particle reinforced AA6061 aluminium alloy matrix composite by powder processing. J Alloy Comp. 2014;582:380-6.
- [39] Leszczynska-Madej B. The effect of sintering temperature on microstructure and properties of Al-SiC composites. Arch Metall Mater. 2013;58(1):43-8.
- [40] Bodukuri AK, Eswaraiah K, Rajendar K, Sampath V. Fabrication of Al-SiC-B4C metal matrix composite by powder metallurgy technique and evaluating mechanical properties. Perspect Sci. 2016;8:428-31.
- [41] Abdizadeh H, Ashuri M, Moghadam PT, Nouribahadory A, Baharvandi HR. Improvement in physical and mechanical properties of aluminum/zircon composites fabricated by powder metallurgy method. Mater Des. 2011;32(8-9):4417-23.

- [42] Feng SS, Geng H, Guo ZQ. Effect of lubricants on warm compaction process of Cu-based composite. Compos Part B Eng. 2012;43(3):933-9.
- [43] Samal CP, Parihar JS, Chaira D. The effect of milling and sintering techniques on mechanical properties of Cu-graphite metal matrix composite prepared by powder metallurgy route. J Alloy Comp. 2013;569:95-101.
- [44] Guo RQ, Rohatgi PK, Nath D. Preparation of aluminium-fly ash particulate composite by powder metallurgy technique. J Mater Sci. 1997;32:3971-4.
- [45] Padmavathi C, Upadhyaya A. Densification, microstructure and properties of supersolidus liquid phase sintered 6711Al-SiC metal matrix composites. Sci Sinter. 2010;42(3):363-82.
- [46] Kurgan N. Effects of sintering atmosphere on microstructure and mechanical property of sintered powder metallurgy 316L stainless steel. Mater Des. 2013;52:995-8.
- [47] Issa R, Hamdan NA, Raj ASS, Noh MFM. Physical characterization of the screen-printed carbon electrode surface using scanning electron micrograph. ASM Sci J. 2011;5(1):36-42.
- [48] Mahdavi S, Akhlaghi F. Effect of SiC content on the processing, compaction behavior, and properties of Al6061/SiC/Gr hybrid composites. J Mater Sci. 2011;46:1502-11.
- [49] Pandya S, Ramakrishna KS, Annamalai AR, Upadhyaya A. Materials science & engineering a effect of sintering temperature on the mechanical and electrochemical properties of austenitic stainless steel. Mater Sci Eng A. 2012;556:271-7.
- [50] Narayanasamy P, Selvakumar N. Effect of hybridizing and optimization of TiC on the Tribological behavior of Mg-MoS<sub>2</sub> composites. J Tribol. 2017;139(5):051301.
- [51] Kumar KR. Characterization, mechanical and wear behavior of magnesium (AZ91D)/Graphite/Tungsten carbide hybrid composites fabricated by powder metallurgy. Trans Indian Inst Met. 2020;73(12):2539-48.
- [52] Kumar SD, Ravichandran M, Sakthivelu S, Meignanamoorthy M, Chanakyan C, Alagarsamy SV. Mechanical properties of magnesium-silicon carbide composite fabricated through powder metallurgy route. Mater Today Proc. 2020;27:1137-41.
- [53] Sap E. Microstructural and mechanical properties of Cu-Based Co-Mo-Reinforced composites produced by the powder metallurgy method. J Mater Eng Perform. 2020;29(12):8461-72.
- [54] Satishkumar P, Mahesh G, Meenakshi R, Vijayan SN. Tribological characteristics of powder metallurgy processed Cu-WC/SiC metal matrix composites. Mater Today Proc. 2020;37(5):459-65.
- [55] Guo R, Liu B, Xu R, Cao Y, Qiu J, Chen F, et al. Microstructure and mechanical properties of powder metallurgy high temperature titanium alloy with high Si content. Mater Sci Eng A. 2020;777:138993.
- [56] Kumar N, Bharti A, Saxena KK. A re-analysis of effect of various process parameters on the mechanical properties of Mg based MMCs fabricated by powder metallurgy technique. Mater Today Proc. 2019;26:1953-9.
- [57] Vani VV, Chak SK. The effect of process parameters in aluminum metal matrix composites with powder metallurgy. Manuf Rev. 2018;5(7):1-12.
- [58] Paknia A, Pramanik A, Dixit AR, Chattopadhyaya S. Effect of size, content and shape of reinforcements on the behavior of metal matrix composites (MMCs) under tension. J Mater Eng Perform. 2016;25:4444-59.
- [59] Hassani A, Bagherpour E, Qods F. Influence of pores on workability of porous Al/SiC composites fabricated through powder metallurgy+ mechanical alloying. J Alloy Comp. 2014;591:132-42.
- [60] Moravcikova-Gouvea L, Moravcik I, Omasta M, Vesely J, Cizek J, Minarik P, et al. High-strength Al0.2Co1.5CrFeNi1.5Ti highentropy alloy produced by powder metallurgy and casting: a comparison of microstructures, mechanical and tribological properties. Mater Charact. 2020;159:110046.
- [61] Mahdi AS, Mustapa MS, Lajis MA, Warikh M, Rashid A. Effect of compaction pressure on physical properties of milled aluminium chip (AA6061). Int J Sci Res. 2015;4:1759-64.
- [62] Ramachandra M, Abhishek A, Siddeshwar P, Bharathi V. Hardness and wear resistance of ZrO2 Nano particle reinforced al nanocomposites produced by powder metallurgy. Procedia Mater Sci. 2015;10:212-9.
- [63] Penther D, Ghasemi A, Riedel R, Fleck C, Kamrani S. Effect of SiC nanoparticles on manufacturing process, microstructure and hardness of Mg-SiC nanocomposites produced by mechanical milling and hot extrusion. Mater Sci Eng A. 2018;738:264-72.
- [64] Akbarpour MR, Salahi E, Hesari FA, Simchi A, Kim HS. Microstructure and compressibility of SiC nanoparticles reinforced Cu nanocomposite powders processed by high energy mechanical milling. Ceram Int. 2014;40(1):951-60.
- [65] Wang Y, Wang HY, Xiu K, Wang HY, Jiang QC. Fabrication of TiB2 particulate reinforced magnesium matrix composites by two-step processing method. Mater Lett. 2006;60(12):1533-7.
- [66] Hafizpour HR, Simchi A, Parvizi S. Analysis of the compaction behavior of Al-SiC nanocomposites using linear and non-linear compaction equations. Adv Powder Tech. 2010;21(3):273-8.
- [67] Rahimian M, Parvin N, Ehsani N. The effect of production parameters on microstructure and wear resistance of powder metallurgy Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite. Mater Des. 2011;32(2):1031-8.
- [68] Doremus P, Guennec YL, Imbault D, Puente G. High-velocity compaction and conventional compaction of metallic powders; comparison of process parameters and green compact properties. Proc Inst Mech Eng E: J Process Mech Eng. 2010;224:177-85.
- [69] Reddy SP, Ramana B, Reddy AC. Compacting characteristics of aluminum-10 wt % fly ash-lead metal matrix composites. Int J Mater Sci. 2010;5(6):777-83.
- [70] Nor SSM, Rahman M, Tarlochan F, Shahida B, Ariffin AK. The effect of lubrication in reducing net friction in warm powder compaction process. J Mater Process Tech. 2008;207(1-3):118-24.
- [71] Taniguchi Y, Dohda K, Wang Z. Effect of lubrication on the improvement of uniformity in uniaxial powder compaction. JSME Int J Ser A Solid Mech Mater Eng. 2005;48(4):393-8.
- [72] Lefebvre LP, Thomas Y, White B. Effects of lubricants and compacting pressure on the processability and properties of aluminum P/M parts. J Light Met. 2002;2(4):239-46.
- [73] Zhou M, Huang S, Hu J, Lei Y, Zou F, Yan S, et al. Experiment and finite element analysis of compaction densification mechanism of Ag-Cu-Sn-In mixed metal powder. Powder Tech. 2017;313:68-81.
- [74] Turenne S, Godere C, Thomas Y. Effect of temperature on the behaviour of lubricants during powder compaction. Powder Metall. 2000;43(2):139-42.
- [75] Simchi A. Effects of lubrication procedure on the consolidation, sintering and microstructural features of powder compacts. Mater Des. 2003;24(8):585-94.

- [76] O'Donnell G, Looney L. Production of aluminium matrix composite components using conventional PM technology. Mater Sci Eng A. 2001;303(1-2):292-301.
- [77] Rahman MM, Nor SSM, Rahman HY. Investigation on the effect of lubrication and forming parameters to the green compact generated from iron powder through warm forming route. Mater Des. 2011;32(1):447-52.
- [78] Enneti RK, Lusin A, Kumar S, German RM, Atre SV. Effects of lubricant on green strength, compressibility and ejection of parts in die compaction process. Powder Tech. 2013;233:22-9.
- [79] Huang HS, Lin YC, Hwang KS. Effect of lubricant addition on the powder properties and compacting performance of spraydried molybdenum powders. Int J Refract Met Hard Mater. 2002;20(3):175-80.
- [80] Qu H, Zhu S, Li Q, Ouyang C. Influence of sintering temperature and holding time on the densification, phase transformation, microstructure and properties of hot pressing WC-40 vol. %Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites. Ceram Int. 2012;38(2):1371-80.
- [81] Nosewicz S. Discrete element modelling of powder metallurgy processes [thesis]. Warsaw: Institute of Fundamental Technological Research; 2016.
- [82] Butkovic S, Oruc M, Saric E, Mehmedovic M. Effect of sintering parameters on the density, microstructure and mechanical properties of the niobium-modified heat-resistant stainless steel GX40CrNiSi25-20 produced by MIM technology. Mater Tech. 2012;46(2):185-90.
- [83] Xue Y, Shen R, Ni S, Xiao D, Song M. Effects of sintering atmosphere on the mechanical properties of Al-Fe particle-reinforced Al-based composites. J Mater Eng Perform. 2015;24:1890-6.
- [84] Jeevanantham P, Kumaresan K, Noorbasha Z. Modeling and experimental analysis of Al2219/N-Tic/Gr powder-based process parameters using desirability approach and genetic algorithm. Mater Tech. 2018;52(5):561-7.
- [85] Nassar AE, Nassar EE. Properties of aluminum matrix Nano composites prepared by powder metallurgy processing. J King Saud Univ Eng Sci. 2017;29(3):295-9.
- [86] Amaranan S, Manonukul A, Phaholyothin P, Nueng K, Luang K. Study of process parameters in conventional powder metallurgy of silver. J Met Mater Miner. 2010;20(1):51-5.
- [87] Purohit R, Dewang Y, Rana RS, Koli D, Dwivedi S. Fabrication of magnesium matrix composites using powder metallurgy process and testing of properties. Mater Today Proc. 2018;5(2):6009-17.
- [88] Gokce A, Findik F. Mechanical and physical properties of sintered aluminum powders. J Achiev Mater Manuf Eng. 2008;30(2):157-64.
- [89] Erdemir F, Canakci A, Varol T. Microstructural characterization and mechanical properties of functionally graded Al2024/SiC composites prepared by powder metallurgy techniques. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China. 2015;25(11):3569-77.
- [90] Venkatesh B, Harish B. Mechanical properties of metal matrix composites (Al/Sicp) particles produced by powder metallurgy. Int J Eng Res Gen Sci. 2015;3(1):1277-84.
- [91] Toozandehjani M, Matori KA, Ostovan F, Abdul Aziz S, Mamat MS. Effect of milling time on the microstructure, physical and mechanical properties of Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposite synthesized by ball milling and powder metallurgy. Materials. 2017;10(11):1232.
- [92] Koli DK, Agnihotri G, Purohit R. Properties and characterization of Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites processed by casting and powder metallurgy routes (review). Int J Latest Trends Eng Tech. 2013;2(4):486-96.
- [93] Rahman MM, Nor SSM. An experimental investigation of metal powder compaction at elevated temperature. Mech Mater. 2009;41(5):553-60.
- [94] Guo RQ, Rohatgi PK, Nath D. Compacting characteristics of aluminium-fly ash powder mixtures. J Mater Sci. 1996;31:5513-9.
- [95] Manohar G, Pandey KM, Ranjan Maity S. Effect of compaction pressure on mechanical properties of AA7075/B4C/graphite hybrid composite fabricated by powder metallurgy techniques. Mater Today Proc. 2020;38:2157-61.
- [96] Simchi A, Nojoomi A. Warm compaction of metallic powders. Adv Powder Metall. 2013:86-108.
- [97] St-Laurent S, Paris V, Thomas Y. Performance of lubricants for high density applications. The PM2012 Powder Metallurgy World Congress; 2012 Oct 14; Yokohama, Japan. p. 1-8.
- [98] Soorya Prakash K, Sathiya Moorthy R, Gopal PM, Kavimani V. Effect of reinforcement, compact pressure and hard ceramic coating on aluminium rock dust composite performance. Int J Refract Met Hard Mater. 2016;54:223-9.
- [99] Liu ZY, Xiao BL, Wang WG, Ma ZY. Singly dispersed carbon nanotube/aluminum composites fabricated by powder metallurgy combined with friction stir processing. Carbon. 2012;50(5):1843-52.
- [100] Liu J, Khan U, Coleman J, Fernandez B, Rodriguez P, Naher S, et al. Graphene oxide and graphene nanosheet reinforced aluminium matrix composites: powder synthesis and prepared composite characteristics. Mater Des. 2016;94:87-94.
- [101] Celebi Efe G, Altinsoy I, Ipek M, Zeytin S, Bindal C. Effects of SiC particle size on properties of Cu-SiC metal matrix composites. Acta Phys. Pol. A, 2012;121(1):251-3.
- [102] Torralba JM, da Costa CE, Velasco F. P/M Aluminum matrix composites: an overview. J Mater Process Tech. 2003;133(1-2):203-6.
- [103] Raja T, Sahu O. Effects on microstructure and hardness of Al-B<sub>4</sub>C metal matrix composite fabricated through powder metallurgy. Int J Mech Eng 2014;1(1):1-5.
- [104] Dhanashekar M, Loganathan P, Ayyanar S, Mohan S, Sathish T. Mechanical and wear behaviour of AA6061/SiC composites fabricated by powder metallurgy method. Mater Today Proc. 2020;21:1008-12.
- [105] Narayanasamy P, Selvakumar N. Tensile, compressive and wear behaviour of self-lubricating sintered magnesium based composites. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China. 2017;27(2):312-23.
- [106] Soorya Prakash K, Gopal PM, Anburose D, Kavimani V. Mechanical, corrosion and wear characteristics of powder metallurgy processed Ti-6Al-4V/B<sub>4</sub>C metal matrix composites. Ain Shams Eng J. 2018;9(4):1489-96.
- [107] Bensam Raj J, Marimuthu P, Prabhakar M, Anandakrishnan V. Effect of sintering temperature and time intervals on workability behaviour of Al-SiC matrix P/M composite. Int J Adv Manuf Tech. 2012;61:237-52.
- [108] Pan M, Jia Y, Gokuldoss PK, Zhishui Y, Yang S, Jian Z, et al. Effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles as reinforcement on the tensile behavior of Al-12Si composites. Metals. 2017;7(9):359.
- [109] Behera RK, Samal BP, Panigrahi SC, Muduli KK. Microstructural and mechanical analysis of sintered powdered aluminium composites. Adv Mater Sci Eng. 2020;2020(2):1-7.